

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Blake
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287643
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287643.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Blake
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287643
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287643.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Inadequate consultation with community</p> <p>Insufficient, incomplete and out of date information to the community</p> <p>Making commitments on council funds without support from local residents</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Demonstrate how infrastructure needs, and particularly traffic congestion, will be addressed, before bulldozing greenbelt. A commitment to look at this later is just not good enough.</p> <p>Explain better why such a large quantity of new housing is needed in hyde/hattersley area rather than improving the existing decaying town centres. Explain the current capacity available from brown field.</p> <p>National planning policy advocates development of greenbelt only when exceptional circumstances are demonstrated.</p> <p>If exceptional circumstances truly exist to destroy our green belt then explain them to us. Provide a timeline for your proposed plans, make sure the wider community is genuinely informed, and update those plans clearly when necessary. None of this is currently happening.</p> <p>There are scientific interest sites in the development areas which could be adversely affected. Have mitigation and compensation been proved effective in other regions? Bats, badgers, voles and crested newts are potentially affected. You cannot simply move the colonies and expect them to adapt to</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	new locations. There have also been deer seen on the GGGV site. These will not return if they are chased away.
<b>Family Name</b>	Blake
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287643
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287643.pdf</a>
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</li> <li>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> </ul>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Proposed developments in my ward are predominantly green belt. This is not prioritising brown field.</p> <p>The proposed number of affordable homes is low. This will not improve conditions for local residents, it will bring in buyers from outside if the area. This may improve the poverty statistics for the area, but not for the people who need it.</p> <p>Priority on sustainable transport needs to be an up front fix - current plans propose to build houses (and bulldoze greenbelt) now, and improve infrastructure - including public transport, traffic, and school places - later. This will leave a serious shortfall in capacity in the interim period and provides no guarantee of improvement in the future.</p> <p>Traffic congestion in the region is already bad.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	As stated in my previous recommendation above.
<b>Family Name</b>	Blake

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287643
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287643.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Inadequate consultation with community</p> <p>Insufficient, incomplete and out of date information to the community</p> <p>Making commitments on council funds without support from local residents</p> <p>Insufficient consultation on the effect on traffic in the region</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Demonstrate how infrastructure needs, and particularly traffic congestion, will be addressed, before bulldozing greenbelt. A commitment to look at this later is just not good enough. The traffic situation in the wider area is awful &amp; more houses will make it worse.</p> <p>Explain better why such a large quantity of new housing is needed in hyde/hattersley area rather than improving the existing decaying town centres. Explain the current capacity available from brown field.</p> <p>National planning policy advocates development of greenbelt only when exceptional circumstances are demonstrated.</p> <p>If exceptional circumstances truly exist to destroy our green belt then explain them to us. Provide a timeline for your proposed plans, make sure the wider community is genuinely informed, and update those plans clearly when necessary. None of this is currently happening.</p> <p>There are scientific interest sites in the development areas which could be adversely affected. Have mitigation and compensation been proved effective in other regions? Bats, badgers, voles and crested newts are potentially affected. You cannot simply move the colonies and expect them to adapt to new locations. There have also been deer seen on the GGGV site. These will not return if they are chased away.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Blake
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287643
<b>Title</b>	JPA 32: South of Hyde
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287643.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Inadequate consultation with community</p> <p>Insufficient, incomplete and out of date information to the community</p> <p>Making commitments on council funds without support from local residents</p> <p>Insufficient consultation on the effect on traffic in the region</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to</b>	<p>Demonstrate how infrastructure needs, and particularly traffic congestion, will be addressed, before bulldozing greenbelt. A commitment to look at this later is just not good enough. The traffic situation in the wider area is awful &amp; more houses will make it worse.</p>

**make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

Explain better why such a large quantity of new housing is needed in hyde/hattersley area rather than improving the existing decaying town centres. Explain the current capacity available from brown field.

National planning policy advocates development of greenbelt only when exceptional circumstances are demonstrated.

If exceptional circumstances truly exist to destroy our green belt then explain them to us. Provide a timeline for your proposed plans, make sure the wider community is genuinely informed, and update those plans clearly when necessary. None of this is currently happening.

There are scientific interest sites in the development areas which could be adversely affected. Have mitigation and compensation been proved effective in other regions? Bats, badgers, voles and crested newts are potentially affected. You cannot simply move the colonies and expect them to adapt to new locations. There have also been deer seen on the GGGV site. These will not return if they are chased away.